Press Bulletin No. 29. January 1907

The Agricultural Experiment Station

FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

COST OF COLORADO ROADS

E. B. HOUSE

The recent agitation upon the subject of good roads for Colorado has brought before the people many questions concerning the road system as it now exists, the amount of money now annually spent upon it, and the cost of a better system of highways.

To answer the question of present cost the following table is submitted, which gives a record of the money expended for road pur-

poses since 1900:

Concerning the figures given in the table, it may be said that in May, 1906, a letter was sent to the county clerk of each county asking for the desired data and inclosing a blank to be filled out and returned to this station. Some of these letters brought prompt replies and some were never answered. To those counties who had not replied, letters were later sent to the county commissioners, asking for the same data.

Out of the fifty-nine counties in the state, replies were finally received from thirty-four containing the information asked for, three replied that no data was available, and from twenty-two no reply whatever could be obtained.

The fact that it was impossible to get complete figures from all the counties in the state is to be regretted.

The fact that it was not possible to get even a reply from many of the counties shows a condition of affairs which should be corrected.

Records and maps of the roads, together with the amount expended upon them, should be found in every county clerk's office and the clerk himself should be ever willing to furnish those figures for bulletin purposes. However, it is thought that enough data has been secured to furnish a good working basis.

COUNTY	Total No. of miles road	No of miles road on plains	No. miles road in mountains	MONEY EXPENDED					
				1900	1901	1902	1903	1904	1905
Adams Arapahoe	No rep No data	ly		8	\$	\$	\$	\$ 10908.10	\$
Archuleta	No rep	ly _{2½}							
Baca	200	1 25	200	12000		10.00	28.50 21000	3.06 15000	12.50 13000
Boulder	600	400	200 200 125 es	26975.54	30468.95	29190.03	31770.42	29795.11	29245.44
Chaffee	250.00	125	125	5000.00	5000.00	6000.00	6000.00	6000.00	8000.00
Cheyenne Clear Creek	AH Sec	tion lin	es	9962.59	13978 84	15958.34	16001 17	35.00 16966 74	30.00 13582.73
Conejos	No rep	ly			10010.01	10000.01	10001.11		
Costilla	Cannot	give	the in	forma	tion as	ked for			
Custer	No rep	lv			1	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	160.00
Denver	Nodata		Nodata	asked	for	1			100.00
Dolores	75	25	30	1213.00	1105.00	2106.00	1308.00	1154.00	758.00
Douglas	No ren	IIV		1					
Eagle Elbert	No rep	ly	t	1	1			12274.26	
El Paso	Nodata	on mile	ano.	13119 56	16993.03	29434.93	19420.74	18635.55	13752.42
Fremont	4 to 500	250	200	1700.00	18500.00	29434.93 2000.00 1309.49	26000.00	22000.00	23500.00
Garfield	850	200	200 650	12190.45	12192.59	1309.49		13282.50	26726.13
Gilpin Grand	No rep	ly				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•
Gunnison	900	500	400	8205.16	1120.99	8851.40	7283.31	11840.29	9242.87
Hinsdale	90	200	400 70	1906.78	2426.35	1949.05	1407.30	1532.05	1819.85
Huerfano Jefferson	No rep	11V				18500.0 0	18500.00	10500 00	19500 00
Kiowa	No ron	il ar				19900.00	10000.00	19900,00	10000.00
Kit Carson	95	95							
Lake	146		146	6000.00	6000.00	6000.00	6500.00	6000.00	6000.00
La Plata Larimer	No rep Nodata	on mile	200	20830 27	21731 48	33621 46	50231 55	82076.54	81204 49
Las Animas	No rep	ly			*******				01
Lincoln	No rep	lv							***********
Logan Mesa	Nodata	on mile	age	14870.85	13416.00	9006.02	3752.58	6372.48	8230.53
Mineral	No rep 75	ly	75	250.00	2500.00	2500.00	2500.00	2700.00	6500.00
Montezuma	350	200	150	3307.30	5639.25	5123.25	7306.69		
Montrose Morgan	No rep	ly						10001.00	
Otero	300	: 800		800.00	14784 19	7017.00 24081.58	10330.00 21524.92	33468.98	15115.39 34207.69
Ouray	Nodata	300 800 on mile	age	0000 10	14/04.14	11451.57	15433.41	14920.26	12917.73
Ouray Park	No rep	ly							
Phillips Pitkin	303 Nodeta	ly 303 on mile	None	6306.90	72.80 6306.20	59.75 3161.39	69.50 5730.35	$\frac{28.60}{3979.53}$	264.28 3773.22
				0900.90	0000.20	3101.39		3979,33	.22000.00
Pueblo	No rep	ly							
Rio Blanco	450	100	35 0 60	2554.36	2540.71	2830.36	3864.70	22.80	31.30
Rio Grande	290	240 ly	60	3361.25	5654.36	5286.85	4044.50	8622.55	6206.32
Sagnache !	Nodata	on mile	9.00	5000.00	5000.00	5000.00	5000.00	5000.00	6000.00
San Juan	No rep	lv							10001 -0
San Miguel	353 1 6 0	000	550	13714.45	13010.92	15157.49	17552.54	14845.05	18681.72 836.04
Sedgwick Summit	No ren	lv							000.04
Teller	195	ly ly	195	5422.98	11175.33	13024.85	5751.27	8924.67	10463.84
Washington	No rep	ly		95107 -	00001		90010 0	E0000	e0545 00
Weld Yuma	Nodete	on mile	9.00	49157.72 748.50	616.61	34134.51 995.56	36917.34 1259.14	2625.80	8858.28
		on mile			010.01				
Total	92271	57251	3501	265 11 8.30	280912.68	340687.06	3676 54 . 1 5	438887.63	495457.45

From the table it will be seen that from the counties reporting there are 9,226 miles of county road in twenty-seven counties. does not include the many miles of private road, which in time will all be taken over by the state or counties.

Figuring from this as a basis, the total mileage of county roads in the entire state is, in round numbers, 20,000 miles. the mileage of private roads, and some conception may be had of the

tremendous mileage Colorado's road system represents.

Taking the twenty-seven counties making complete reports to

this office, we find an expenditure In 1000 of \$203.018.10, which means 22.12 per mile. In 1901 of 217,118.68, which means 23.53 per mile. In 1902 of 259,437.30, which means 28.14 per mile. In 1903 of 282,229.79, which means 30.59 per mile. In 1904 of 319,826.73, which means 34.67 per mile. In 1905 of 375,003.51, which means 40.64 per mile.
Using these figures as a basis, the total mileage of the state

represents an expenditure

For	1900	\mathbf{of}	\$442,400.
For	1901	of	470,600.
For	1902	of	562,800.
			693,400.
			812,800.

In figuring the expenditure per mile, it will be noticed that it was necessary to omit such counties as El Paso, Larimer, Logan, etc., for the reason that they could not give the number of miles of road in their counties, although they were able to give the expenditures. these counties have a large yearly expenditure, the above figures are low rather than high.

It will also be noted that there is a steady increase in the amount expended for road purposes each year. The amount spent in 1905 was nearly double that of 1900, thus showing the increased interest and desire of the people for better highways.